### **Brent Children and Families Department**

### Action Plan in response to the Ofsted/Care Quality Commission Safeguarding and Looked after Children Inspection

This action plan has been produced as a response to the recommendations made as a result of the safeguarding and looked after children inspection, which took place 3-14 October 2011 and resulted in the report of 18 November 2011. It covers recommendations which are the responsibility of the local authority, as well as those which need to be followed up by the local authority together with its partners. In order to make a comprehensive response to the inspection and further improve outcomes for children and young people, this plan additionally picks up comments made by Ofsted within the text of the final report, which were not highlighted as recommendations. These additional areas for development follow the recommendations for Safeguarding, or for Looked after Children, respectively. As the plan follows the format of the Ofsted inspections, the recommendations and actions required cover all aspects of the work, from management and leadership to front-line practice.

This plan has been prepared in conjunction with the Health Action Plan which has been developed by Brent Primary Care Trust and is reported (and monitored) on a monthly basis by the Care Quality Commission. The Children and Families Plan complements the actions within that plan.

The plan has benefitted from input and quality assurance from London Safeguarding Advisors and the Local Government Improvement and Development Agency.

This action plan will be implemented alongside individual service improvement plans already in development, representing the ambition of the council and its partners to make a positive difference for children in need of safeguarding or who are in the care of Brent Council.

### Monitoring, Accountability and Scrutiny arrangements:

This inspection action plan and the wider service improvement plan contain SMART objectives, with detailed actions to be carried out.

The monitoring arrangements for the plan are as follows:

- 1. Monthly monitoring of the action plan involving Health and Social Care colleagues chaired by the Director of Children's Services.
- 2. Local Safeguarding Board on a bi-monthly basis.
- 3. Brent Children's Partnership on a quarterly basis
- 4. Multi- agency child protection meeting on a quarterly basis

- 5. Children and Families Departmental Management team on a monthly basis.
- 6. Children and Families Scrutiny Committee and Corporate Parenting and Safeguarding group.
- 7. The Lead Member for Children and Families will have a key role in the monitoring of progress.

There are two key mechanisms for monitoring progress:

- 1. The Action Plan will be updated on a monthly basis and provide evidence of progress against identified actions and compliance with timescales. This will form the basis of the regular monitoring.
- 2. A quarterly data set and report will be prepared by the Assistant Director, Children's Social Care, which will summarise progress and evidence how the identified actions are improving services and the difference they are making to children and their families in Brent. This will be submitted to groups identified above and form the basis of the quarterly programme of review.
- 3. Any concerns arising from the monitoring programmes will be conveyed directly to the Director of Children and Families.

Finally, the Department will seek external challenge of the progress against the plan in June 2012 through the Local Government Improvement and Development Agency and the intention is to arrange a process of peer challenge or review in December 2012 to assess progress against the findings of the SLAC Inspection report. NB: A glossary of all acronyms used within this plan is included at the end of the document.

Nb. Where there are multiple leads identified, the responsible lead officer is underlined.

# **Safeguarding Recommendations:**

Recommendations: Safe  1. Ofsted Recommendation	Ensure that timely, supportive and reflective supervision is provided for social care staff by managers and that this is recorded promptly and to a high standard.				
Timescale: Immediate Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Staff at all levels receive regular, high quality supervision, which encompasses both performance management and reflective supervision.  Supervision enables reflective, child focussed practice, in line with the Munroe Review of Child	1. Review of all supervision materials to be conducted by external consultant working together with managers, to ensure supervision is delivered in line with best practice elsewhere and that this can be evidenced.	Head of Localities and Disabled Children/Head of Care Planning	Policy to be completed by end August 2012.	External consultant engaged. Focus group with managers 19.1.12.  5 sessions for each supervisor in group sessions focusing on every aspect of supervision commencing May 12 Sessions for TM's across the service confirmed and relevant HOS to ensure attendance. Dates for DTM's, AP's and supervising SW's will be confirmed in next 7 working days.	A
Protection  All social workers will have a fully completed personal supervision file to capture casework as well as	2. Intensive coaching workshops for managers across children's social care, to deliver improved expertise in supervision, including reflective supervision, and in doing so enable managers to lift the quality of practice across the		February – March 2012	Funding secured through Local Government Improvement and Development Agency and work programme submitted.  Programme of supervision support started May 2012.	G

personal and professional development  Supervision notes to demonstrate timely plans and impact  2. Ofsted	service.  Ensure that supervision files are subject	t to a regular sys	tom of audit and review	by sonior managers	
Recommendation  Timescale: Immediate	Liisure tilat supervision mes are subjec	it to a regular sys		by sellior managers	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Managers are confident that supervision is being delivered in line with departmental policy; audit feedback demonstrates significant improvements in frequency and quality.	Evidence of both compliance with and impact of improved approach to supervision to be evidenced through regular audit.	Head of Localities and Disabled Children/Head of Care Planning	March 12. Audit outcome will be included in post-inspection action plan quarterly report to Departmental Management Team and Local Safeguarding Children Board	Summary report has been completed	G
	Conduct staff survey to gain feedback on impact of new supervision system		September 2012	On track and in line with current programme of supervision skills	G

3. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	Fully implement a system of qualitative	ve as well as quan	titative performance ma	nagement which links to the auditing processes	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Service improvements are informed by learning from qualitative and quantitative	Develop a quality management framework aimed at delivering continuous improvement, which captures quantitative and qualitative data.	Head of Safeguarding	March 2012 – sign-off by DMT and LSCB	QMF agreed. A monthly themed audit schedule has been implemented. QMF launched 1March 2012. 1st report has been booked into SCMT 17th July.	G
measurements.	2. Provide quarterly QMF reports which evidence how service improvements are informed by the qualitative and quantitative information collected.	Head of Safeguarding / Head of Policy and Performance	June 2012 to be included within quarterly post-inspection action plan report to DMT and LSCB	QMF Report booked into SCMT 17 July 2012	G

4. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)  Required Outcome	Develop effective systems for obtaining services, with the purpose of informing Actions		_	include key themes from complaints and advocacy i.  Evidence of progress January 2012	
An overarching framework for consulting with service users is in place and having a positive impact on service delivery.  There is documentary evidence of meaningful consultation.	1. Develop range of methods for consultation with service users throughout the service, including qualitative and quantitative methods through the use of questionnaires, e-mail and text messaging, surveys, telephone interviews and focus groups. Methods to be informed through consultation with Brent Consultation Team and Complaints Team; and from best practice elsewhere.	Head of Localities and Disabled Children	Overarching system to be signed off by DMT, and in place and fully operational by June 2012.	Social work students complete a minimum of 5 focused interviews with Children/Parents in Child protection.  Structured interviews have taken place with 64 parents whose children were subjects of CIN plans. The report is in draft and will be ratified at SCMT and taken direct to front line teams for learning by August 2012.  Structured interviews have taken place with parents whose children have been subject of child protection plans. There have been 12 interviews to date. The findings will be taken to SCMT and to the child protection service and front line teams by August 2012.	G

The views of children, young people, parents and carers inform service improvement.	2. Further strengthen systems so that feedback from the views of children and young people, and parents and carers, including the outcomes of complaints, inform service improvement and this can be evidenced.	DMT, <b>SCMT,</b> Complaints Manager	Review by Complaints Manager and SCMT – April 2012.	Quarterly children social care analysis received.  Service user views strategy has been drafted and will be agreed by SCMT by August 2012	A
	3. DMT and LSCB to scrutinise outcomes of feedback and complaints, and ensure actions are taken to improve services accordingly.	DMT, LSCB	Annual report to DMT and LSCB-July 12		A
5. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	Ensure that the quality of child in need outcomes for safeguarding are clear ar	•	ction plans is improved so	o that timely, measurable and achievable	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Social work reports to child protection conference are of a high standard, and will reflect the individual needs and diversity of children and their families within the primary	Improve the quality of child protection and child in need plans through the following actions:     a. Training on analysis of risk for social workers     b. Multi-agency training to improve contribution of all agencies to child protection planning	Head of safeguarding  Head of Localities and Disabled Children	Progress report on all actions below to LSCB for scrutiny July 2012.	a. Signs of Safety training held Nov/Dec 11 75 social workers and their managers took part in the training. A proposal to endorse multi-agency training in SoS is going to LSCB in July 2012. Plans to implement throughout Brent Social Care are in development.  CPAs have set up conference clinics in-house to provide support to workers for report writing, presenting to child protection conference and	A

c. Together with London Councils, developing more focused set of child protection documentation templates d. Strengthening the quality assurance role of Child Protection Advisers through	An independent audit of child protection plans has been commissioned. This will take into account fwi records, service user views and stakeholder views. The report will be finalised by September 2012.  b. The Child Protection Advisors employed by
use of the Alert system, and through audit e. Multi-agency training on new	Brent Council will provide workshops vial the LSCB. Dates will be incorporated into the 2012/13 plan.
strengthening the role of the Team Around a Child (TAC)  f. Improving management overview of child in need cases to ensure timely progress to meeting agreed objectives.	C. JB contributes to developing and facilitating an event at London Councils with CAIT Superintendent and London Safeguarding Advisors. The event was for child protection leads across London authorities to improve the outcome focus of child protection plans, to ensure they addressed risk and were not generic plans. The Brent template for child protection plans was demonstrated as a good model of practice.
	d. The child protection advisors have held 2 training sessions for manager on CIN planning and review. The training is now being rolled out to teams.  The CPAs cross audit child protection plans and use them for peer challenge and improvement. There has been an improvement in the child focus and specificity of outcomes in child
	Councils, developing more focused set of child protection documentation templates  d. Strengthening the quality assurance role of Child Protection Advisers through use of the Alert system, and through audit  e. Multi-agency training on new Child In Need policy, including strengthening the role of the Team Around a Child (TAC)  f. Improving management overview of child in need cases to ensure timely progress to meeting agreed

	CP Plan Audit template.docx Principal CPA monitors child protection plans from CPAs  System for ongoing audit of cases with CP plan for more than 18 months now embedded. There are currently only 12 child protection plans of 18 months duration and 7 of more than 24 months  CP Plans greater than 18 months 09.0:	
	Alert system usage reinforced.	
	e. 3 multi-agency workshops have taken place to explain the CIN policy. The CPAs are going to offer practical training in CIN and CP planning and review via the LSCB.	3
	F. audit of CIN plans 28 <sup>th</sup> February	3
	Audit completed, report will be available to insert W/C 26.03.12	
	An additional audit of CIN plans was done by London Councils In March 2012.	
	A review of child protection plans that have ceased is being undertaken June/July 2012. This will specifically address the CIN working when a	

		child protection plan has ceased.	

6. Ofsted Recommendation: Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	The LSCB to ensure that thresholds and 'step up, step down' processes are fully understood and effectively shared across agencies in relation to children who no longer require a child protection plan.				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/ Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Managers and staff are able to focus their work on children who meet Brent's levels of need.  Child protection plans are appropriately stepped down when indication that risk of significant harm has diminished	<ol> <li>All families will receive the appropriate level of intervention, through the following actions:         <ol> <li>Assessment of whether cases receiving appropriate level through audit</li> <li>Benchmarking of thresholds across London</li> <li>Ensure thresholds are understood across partnership</li> <li>Pilot multi-agency consultation system by Child Protection Advisers</li> <li>Strengthen screening of new work through Locality Duty systems</li> <li>Strengthen links with early help services to improve signposting</li> </ol> </li> <li>Strengthen overview of child in need cases to ensure timely progress to</li> </ol>	LSCB/Head of Safeguarding	Multi-agency progress report on all actions below to be scrutinised by DCS on monthly basis, and through High-Level Child Protection meeting. Report to LSCB June 2012.	A &C. LSCB thresholds group to sample audit 6 cases on multi-agency basis at 6-monthly intervals – starting end January 2012.  Meeting took place 30.01.12  A further thresholds review has been set for July 2012.  a. Benchmarking across 5 localities is carried out by the PO for QA.	G

	b. data to be compiled comparing conversion rates from contact to referral across London.  Heads of safeguarding across London have been contacted to see if they collect data on referrals that have been closed down following IA.	A
	c. A&c. First 6-monthly LSCB thresholds meeting, led by Head of Safeguarding, January 2012. Thresholds reinforced through locality practitioner forums, CIN workshops, joint operational CP meetings Thresholds also reinforced via the 3 LSCB CIN policy workshops.	G
	d. On hold	Α
	e. locality managers have been screening referrals for appropriate courses of action and reporting on any issues. Principal CAP is still sick thus capacity continues to be an issue.	G
	f. Early support and CAF co-ordinator in post and review of step-up/step-down in process. Audit of CIN cases by regional safeguarding manager complete and recommendations to be implemented. The early help offer is subject of a one council review. This review intends to	Α

				enhance the offer to Brent families. The current resource is not sufficient to meet demand. Early help one council projects to be raised via children's partnership and LSCB. Head of Service for Early Help is a member of both fora.	
				g. New, robust CIN policy launched December 2011 through multi-agency workshops. Further workshop planned 12 June 2012 h. Audit of CIN 28th Feb 2012	G
7. Area for Development:	-			rom care, needs to undertake further work in order as yet to submit a report to the LSCB for scrutiny	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Children Missing from Care are managed effectively and the Board is able to analyse patterns and trends	The Missing Children Risks and Needs group collate and analyse data from Frameworki about Children missing from Care on a quarterly basis.	Chair of Missing Children Risks and Needs group	Report to LSCB February 2012 then on quarterly basis.	The report of the Missing Children: Risks and Needs group was presented to the Board on the 7 <sup>th</sup> February.  The remit of the RAN group is to be reviewed to	A
	Police data and data from other agencies concerning missing children to be analysed			ensure missing children at risk of sexual exploitation is captured. A new chair for the RAN group will be sourced as a result of the promotion of the current chair and membership reviewed with the new group meeting in April.  An audit is currently underway to try to quantify numbers and risk with a view of developing a	A

				targeted action plan.  The management information presented to the Board will be revised to ensure information presented includes children missing from home as well as care. This will be analysed by the Monitoring and Evaluation group to provide a more holistic oversight on an ongoing basis commencing in May.  The next report to the Board will be in June and thereafter, September, December and March	
8. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012).	looked after.  NB: Recommendation also covered with complement the actions within the He	naring of informat thin Health Action alth Action Plan.	tion about children subje	ect to child protection plans and children who are v are to be carried out in partnership, and	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountability	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Health partners in child protection have ready access to list of children who are subjects of child protection plans, Supervision Orders, Interim/Care Orders and placed with parents.	Establish of joint ICO/LA 'task and finish' group to review information exchange issues and improve process	Assistant Director Social Care; Community Services Director, Brent	Task and finish group to report February 2012. Report to DMT and to LSCB to confirm all arrangements have been put in place – April 12.	Immediate check showed that key providers receive updated information about child protection plans. Designated health staff identifying whether spread of information should be expanded.  Brent Council has volunteered to work with the DfE Child Protection Information Sharing Project to develop a business case proposal for the Department of Health to share alert information between social care and unscheduled healthcare on children subject	G

9. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	and their parents/carers are actively e	ngaged in the quath	ality assurance and deve	after Children as well as Child Protection Plan alerts for unborn children.  to ensure that disabled children and young people elopment of services.  w are to be carried out in partnership, and	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Disabled children, young people and their parents and carers are able to comment on service quality and to contribute to service development.	1. Ensure children, young people and their parents/carers are kept informed of any proposed changes to services which may affect them, and that their views are taken into consideration.	Head of Localities and Disabled Children/Head of Transitions Team, Adult Social Care	Immediate and ongoing. Communication will take place as part of the 2 One Council projects: Transitions into Adult Life, and Children with Disabilities 0-13 project – outcome to be reported to Programme Board April 2012.	CIN survey has been completed and report is in draft. Consultation on 0-13 disabled children team planned with One Voice week took place 23 <sup>rd</sup> April 2012 and regular liaison meetings will be. CIST survey agreed during meeting planned for September 2012	G
10. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 6 months (end May 2012)			ren's partnership to stre	engthen the coordination and integration of arrangements to monitor and drive improvement	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when	Evidence of progress January 2012	

A 'golden thread' will link partnership and corporate strategic priorities with all service improvement plans. All plans will be SMART and will establish challenging targets which can be tracked and evidenced.  Qualitative measures will be integrated into plans to ensure that service development leads to improved outcomes for children, young people and their families.	Consultation processes in the development of strategic plans will be strengthened to ensure that strategic priorities reflect practice and service-user priorities.	Director of Children and Families  Director of Strategy, Partnerships and Improvement  LSCB Independent chair	To feed in to consultation prior to review of Children and Young People's Plan 2012 To feed in to LSCB Annual Review 2011 and Business Plan 2012 Scrutiny of actions within this recommendation will be undertaken through the Chief Executive's quarterly multi-agency child protection meetings; and by the members' Corporate Parenting and Safeguarding Bd.	Links made between this plan and update of CYPP, which will be finalised April 2012. LSCB business planning day has been held and the business plan will be agreed at the LSCB 27 June 2012.	A
	2. Linkages between Brent Children's Partnership, Brent LSCB, and operational managers will be strengthened, to ensure more effective scrutiny and accountability; qualitative performance information; and information-sharing which enables key priorities for the range of children and young people in Brent to be identified, prioritised within plans, and taken forward.	LSCB Independent chair	May 2012	LSCB business plan will address these links	A

3.	Children's social care	SCMT	January 2012	Actions are in the SLAC action plan and Brent	Α
	improvement plans will be			Social Care Safeguarding Action Plan	
	produced which will cover all key				
	priorities, identifying their source,				
	with clear targets which will be				
	scrutinised by the Social Care				
	Management Team on a quarterly				
	basis.				

# **Safeguarding: Additional Areas for Development**

Safeguarding: Addition 1. Area for Development:	There are insufficient monitoring and evaluation processes in place in relation to work addressing concerns about the conduct of adults working with children. This role is the responsibility of the Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO)							
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012				
Lessons from referrals to LADO (Local Authority Designated Officer dealing with concerns about adults working with children) will be learned.	LADO will regularly review outcomes of investigations and highlight lessons to be learned and acted upon.	Head of Safeguarding	Quarterly report to be included with management information to the LSCB on outcomes of referrals about adults who may pose a risk to children, from December 11	Report provided December 11 Report provided March 2012  LADO report is being prepared June 2012.	G			
LADO role will be understood across the partnership	2. The Safeguarding in Employment sub group of the LSCB will promote the role of the LADO across the voluntary, private and statutory providers in Brent.	Chair of sub-group	LSCB	The safeguarding in Employment sub-group is subject of review. The LADO role across the partnership is being incorporated in this review.  LSCB faith and community reference group is meeting July 2012. The LADO will be part of the reference group.  The LADO is promoting the role through the designated teacher forum.  Report of evaluation of safeguarding training provided to Education partners is being prepared	G			

LADO will be adequately resourced to ensure the statutory requirements of the role are fulfilled  2. Area for Development	more robust and consistently	followed.		Business case for extending the number of CPAs has been prepared. The LADO operational role will transfer to the CPAs thus freeing the current post holder to do more awareness raising in the community.  d usually applied appropriately, but systems need to be	A
Required Outcome  Decisions in relation to Criminal Record Bureau disclosures will be managed well and appropriately recorded, to ensure safe recruitment processes are robust and consistently applied.	1. Finalise planned work in relation to strengthening procedures and data retention.	Head of People Services/HR Services manager/Operational HOS	By when  January 2012. Report to DMT February 12.	Completed; updated procedure enclosed  CRB policy update March 12.doc.docx	G
3. Area for Development:	There is no formal evaluation	of the impact of CAF on	children, young people	and their families.	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Families have access to bespoke and coordinated multi- agency support that addresses need early	Review CAF processes to determine outcomes and quality. This will include an evaluation of the impact of CAF.	Head of Early Years and Integrated Services	February 2012	Evaluation report completed end of February and action plan being deployed.	G

	2. Recruit a full time CAF coordinator to manage the SPA process and the CAF team.		December 2011	postholder in place January 2012.	G
4. Area for	•		and the second	children in need is not appropriate and can lead to the	
Development	individual needs of each siblin				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Recording will reflect the individual needs of each child within the family as well as needs which apply to the whole family	Reinforce requirements     re ensuring individual     needs of children within     a family are recorded by     practitioners.	Head of Localities & Disabled Children	January 2012 Compliance to be tested through planned audit cycle.	2 day audit of CIN cases planned for 19 and 20 April 2012 by Locality Managers, Review of CIN plans undertaken and planned workshops led by AP's planned for July 2012	Α
5. Area for	Assessments for disabled child	ren brought to the mu	ti-agency resources par	nel are not always fully comprehensive or multi-	
Development	disciplinary				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
		Lead  Head of Localities & Disabled Children	when/Accountable	Evidence of progress January 2012  0-13 consultation completed, 0-13 disabled children's team will be operational from 30 April 2012, assessment process refined to maximise efficiency and deliver improved assessments proportionate to need, team will be located in Chesterfield House.	G

and supporting multi-agency key workers support to families with additional needs aged 0-5 years.	3. Early Support Coordinator to identify additional funding for families with early support needs.	Head of Early Years and Integrated Services	Ongoing, as key part of role.		G
6. Area for	The LSCB's 26 wide-ranging pr	iorities for this year do	not link clearly to the p	riorities of Brent Children's Partnership or Brent's Child	
Development	Poverty Strategy				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
The LSCB Business plan demonstrates linked priorities for 2012-13 to Brent Children's Partnership strategies The annual report demonstrates how the LSCB BCP priorities were addressed on 2011-12	LSCB planning business planning day references, scrutinises, monitors and evaluates all key safeguarding elements of partnership strategies.  Brent Children's Partnership to be consulted on the LSCB plan.	LSCB Independent Chair	Takes place May 2012  Accountable to Director of Children's Services who will ensure appropriate linkages are in place.	The LSCB Business Planning Day was held May 2012 key priorities for the year were agreed. The business plan goes to the boar 27 June 2012. The annual report is complete.  The Business Plan and Annual Report will be going to the BCP Executive in June. The CYPP (Currently out for consultation with the BCP) will be going to the LSCB when complete.	A

7. Area for Development	The LSCB to engage more effectively with the community and to recruit lay members.							
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012				
Lay members will be in place and fully contributing to the work of the LSCB.	Reference group for community groups is in development, with first meeting planned.	LSCB Development Manager	Meeting planned July 2012 Accountable to LSCB Independent Chair, then to DCS	The reference group will meet July 2012 and the Lay member will be part of this.  Lay member appointment has been made.	Α			
	Advertisement to be placed for lay members of LSCB		May 2012		G			
8. Area for Development				for holding an initial child protection conference llowed, leading at times to unacceptable delay.				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012				
There is clear understanding of timescales required within child protection processes.	Clarification about timescales within child protection processes to be sent to team managers and practitioners.	Head of Safeguarding	December 2011	Achieved  timescales to cp. conference.msg	G			

Current appropriate	2. Periodic audit to identify		Next audit: April		G
level of	whether cases which go		2012	A further reminder was sent to managers May 2012	
performance of 70%	beyond 15-day timescale				
within timescale is	do so in the interests of		Outcome of audit to	To be audited April 2012.	
maintained,	getting a fuller assessment		be reported to LSCB.		
ensuring those that	and risks are managed, as			Audit completed and further reminder of responsibilities	
exceed the	opposed to drift.			of the manager to comply with statutory timescales was	
timescale are				sent.	
complex cases, receiving fuller				CP admin team are tasked to remind workers that their	
assessment, with				manager must evidence on fwi rationale for late booking	
risks managed.				and an interim a risk management plan.	
	3. Multi-agency strategy	Head of Localities	LSCB	Subject of a LSCB audit at present. Service audits suggest	Α
	meetings or discussions	and Disabled		that still to high a proportion of strategy discussions are	
	will be held for complex	Children		between SC and police, Topic for discussion with Locality	
	cases where detailed			Managers 3 <sup>rd</sup> May 2012. LSCB audit is in final draft.	
	multi-agency planning and				
	management of risk is				
	required from the				
	beginning.				

## 4. Looked After Children Recommendations:

Recommendations: Loc	oked After Children				RAG	
1. Ofsted /CQC Recommendation:	NHS Brent to provide an effective health service to looked after children:  • To ensure the timely completion of all health assessments and reviews					
Timescale: Immediate	<ul> <li>To develop a robust approa</li> <li>To improve information ex</li> <li>To provide age appropriate</li> <li>Where appropriate, to ensichildren reviews</li> </ul>	change between health a	nd social care professi	onals		
	NB: Recommendation direct out in partnership or apply t After Children received a jud improvement, and compliar recommendation and in the	to social care, and comple dgement of Inadequate, th ace and improvement will CQC Health Action Plan v on Meetings, as well as to	ment the actions withing his recommendation is to be reported on a monto will also be scrutinised to the Health and Well-Bo	on Plan. The actions below are either to be carried to the Health Action Plan. As the Health of Looked receiving the highest priority for action and hly basis to the DCS. Actions under this hrough quarterly reports to the LSCB and to the eing Board. A revised set of Performance Indicators		
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012		
Health assessments are timely and comprehensive	A system is being devised to ensure that all young people to have a Health Assessment within 3 months prior to their 18 <sup>th</sup> birthday.	Head of CPCIC/Deputy Director, Nursing and Clinical Standards, Brent ICO	1 February 2012	Ursula Johnson identify YP and notify SW's Focus has been on health assessments Awaiting outcome of public needs analysis to inform future work; consultation has just been completed on draft report This is strongly linked to action 6.	A	

					In exploring this further, the focus has shifted to having health material available for care leavers, not so much having a HA before their 18 <sup>th</sup> birthday. Consultation with YP has been identified as a crucial part of this and it is envisaged that between Social Care and health this will be actioned within the next 6 weeks.	
Children's health plans will be implemented in a timely and comprehensive way, ensuring their health needs are met	2. Strengths and Questionnaire identified as n completion at Review, and m LAC Review.	(SDQs) to be Co eeding Re	ead of ommissioning and esources	1 February 2012	Currently being implemented by IROs. Fully in place by April 12.  Implementation to be checked at 2 <sup>nd</sup> LAC review.  System now in place – to be audited end July 12	A
	3. IROs to review recommendat review and im escalation polinecessary.	ions at each LAC Co plement Re	ead of ommissioning and esources	Immediate and ongoing	In place and will be audited through the revised IRO performance monitoring framework.	G
Through the revised service specification, to ensure robust quality assurance of the health services being delivered to LAC, both in terms of timeliness and quality	assessments t  a. Quarterly p monitoring ensure rob of health a part of SLA b. Bi-monthly between so	hrough:  Diverformance It meetings to State Seessments as  Plate Collination Collination Report Diversor Collination Report Diversor Report Diversor Report Diversor Report Repor	ead of Care lanning/Deputy irector, Nursing & inical tandards/Head of ommissioning and esources/Deputy irector, Strategy & lanning, NHS Brent egular review of SLA vill ensure all actions	1 February 2012	A Fully scheduled for 12/13Consultation on draft performance framework almost complete and first meeting is scheduled for July 12, which will monitor the 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter's performance.  This is in place & 3 mtgs have taken place already.  This is in place.	A

			are implemented.			
LAC Reviews will be fully informed about children's health issues, in order to best meet their needs  Information exchange between health and social care will be consistently good, and enable children's health needs to be met in a timely way	5.	Establish joint ICO/LA 'task and finish' group to review information exchange issues and improve process.	Head of Care Planning/Head of Commissioning and Resources/Community Services Director, NHS Brent	1 February 2012	In place and will continue for an additional 3 months.  However, immediate work has been undertaken in respect of information exchange and processes. The LAC Health team have full access to FWi and have received training.  There is also now a shared spread sheet data base which monitors the progress of the health assessments.  All actions on track and revised process system in place which should demonstrate considerable improvement in the timeliness of HA's.	G
Children and young people will be fully informed about health issues, in an ageappropriate way.	6.	Review current health information and develop or source appropriate health information materials in liaison with public health department.	Deputy Director, Nursing & Clinical Standards, Head of Health Improvement Brent, Ealing and Harrow ICO (see CQC plan)	December 2011	Underway as part of task and finish group	Α

LAC health colleagues are notified of all	7.	Health to be routinely informed about every LAC	Head of Care Planning/ Community	1 February 2012	a)	LAC review service notifies via spreadsheet	G
statutory reviews to enable their		review, in order that up-to- date health information can	Services Director Brent NHS/ <b>Head of</b>		b)	SW identifies when nurse needs to be invited	
participation and		be provided. Personal	Commissioning &		Thin i		
attendance where appropriate		attendance where required to be identified by social worker.	Resources		throu	s now fully in place and is being monitored igh the IRO process that health attendance is where required.	

2. Ofsted Recommendation: Timescale: Immediate	he council to ensure timely notifications of all newly looked after children to partner agencies, and to inform them of other ignificant changes to placement arrangements.				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Health, schools and other partner agencies as appropriate are informed promptly when children come into care, or when their placements change.	<ol> <li>Strengthen systems for notifying partner agencies when children come into care or change placements, through identifying problems and rectifying.</li> <li>Follow-up audit to ensure system working well.</li> </ol>	Head of Children & Families Policy and Performance/Head of Care Planning/Head of Localities/Head of Commissioning and Resources	Audit September 2012. Outcome of audits to be presented to DMT and to LSCB as part of quarterly monitoring.	Requirements reinforced.	G

3. Ofsted Recommendation: Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	The council to draw up robust path care.	way and transition plans	in conjunction with al	I those young people leaving care or who have left	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
All care leavers will have a relevant, meaningful and up to date Pathway Plan	<ol> <li>Pathway planning to be made more robust through:         <ol> <li>Increased management involvement with Pathway Planning arrangements</li> <li>Training workshops to improve expertise of practitioners, ensuring each Pathway Plan is young-person centred</li> <li>Quality assurance of Pathway Planning arrangements, through the IRO role; management information; and audit.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	Head of Care Planning	1 February 2012  Management information to be presented to DMT and to LSCB as part of quarterly monitoring.	<ul> <li>a. Increased management oversight has led to 94% of Pathway Plans being in place</li> <li>There has been a reduction in the completion of PP (94.9%) due to staff vacancies and workload.</li> <li>Recruitment in process as well as permanent recruitment to the vacancies.</li> <li>Positive feedback received from IRO re improved quality of PP's.</li> <li>Awaiting outcome of the themed May PP audit.</li> </ul>	A
A Pathway Plan is drawn up in conjunction with all care leavers and a copy				b. PP training day is in L & D calendar for 12/13.  Expectations presented at staff forum, management meeting and team meetings	G

is given to them				c. IRO's are quality assuring PP for the LAC's.  This will also be a key area in the revised performance monitoring framework,  quantitative audit of PP scheduled for April 2012. This is work in progress.	G
				d. Management information provided by Data Officer on monthly basis. System has been devised and to be implemented from 1st May 2012.	G
All care-leavers with disabilities will have a comprehensive transition plan in place prior to leaving care.	2. Team manager of Transitions team to ensure transition plans are comprehensively updated prior to the young person leaving care.	Head of Transitions Service from 1.4.2012	Immediate and ongoing	To be discussed at the meeting scheduled for Monday, 18 <sup>th</sup> June 2012.	D
	3. This requirement will be integrated within current plans for a 14-25 Transitions Team.	Head of Transitions Service	April 2012		

4. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	The council to ensure that all social workers benefit from regular, good quality formal supervision that provides appropriate management oversight of case work planning.  NB: All actions are the same as in Safeguarding Recommendations 1 and 2 to ensure consistent improvements across the service.					
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to	Evidence of progress January 2012		
Staff at all levels receive regular, high quality supervision, which encompasses both performance management and reflective supervision. Supervision enables reflective, child focussed practice, in line with the Munroe Review of Child	1. Review of all supervision materials to be conducted by external consultant working together with managers, to ensure supervision is delivered in line with best practice elsewhere and that this can be evidenced.	Head of Localities and Disabled Children/Head of Care Planning	Policy to be completed by end February 2012	External consultant engaged. Focus group with managers 19.1.12.  5 sessions for each supervisor in group sessions focusing on every aspect of supervision commencing April 12  Policy to be completed following training programme  All TM's in service have and are attending the supervision training workshops  Audit of supervision files scheduled for July 2012	A	
Protection All social workers will have a fully completed personal supervision file to capture casework as well as personal and professional development.	2. Intensive coaching workshops for managers across children's social care, funded through the Local Government Improvement and Development agency, to be provided to deliver improved expertise in supervision, including reflective supervision, and in doing so	Head of Localities and Disabled Children/Head of Care Planning	February – March 2012	Funding secured through Local Government Improvement and Development Agency and work programme submitted.  Programme of supervision support starting April 2012.  As above	G	

Supervision notes to demonstrate timely plans and impact	enable managers to lift the quality of practice across the service.				
	3. Evidence of both compliance with and impact of improved approach to supervision to be evidenced through regular audit.  3. Evidence of both compliance with and impact of improved approach to supervision to be evidenced through regular audit.	Head of Localities and Disabled Children/Head of Care Planning	March 12. Audit outcome will be included in post-inspection action plan quarterly report to Departmental Management Team and Local Safeguarding Children Board	Audit to ensure cases had been supervised undertaken December 2011 Summary report due April 2012 Audit was completed, Report outstanding.  The report has been completed and recommendations incorporated in the supervision training. Also discussed in MM and supervision.	A
	Conduct staff questionnaire re experience of new supervision system	Head of Localities and Disabled Children/Head of Care Planning	September 2012		A
5. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	The council to ensure that care plar all relevant background information		oked after children are	e focused, specific and include the consideration of	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when	Evidence of progress January 2012	

Care plans for children	1. Care Planning systems to be	Head of Care	All actions to be	This is now incorporated into the L&D plan.	G
and young people are	strengthened through the	<b>Planning,</b> Head of	implemented by		
focused, specific and	following actions:	Legal Services	March 2012	a. Completed. FWI workflow processes updated	
include the	a. Review of current care		Progress to be	to include tracking of PPM's.	
consideration of all	planning policies and		reported to DMT	b. Completed. Six-weekly meetings between	
relevant background	processes to ensure that		March 2012.	HoS, PO's and TM's to review all court cases &	
information	the care planning process			planning.	
	is robust, timely and of a			c. Completed- it is checked in the legal meeting.	
	high standard. This to				
Supervision to reflect	include workflow			d. This supported by updated FWI process,	
active care planning	processes on FWI.			- PO re-books at IPPM if complex issues	
	b. Strengthen joint planning			- PO Te-books at IPPIVI II complex issues	
Care plans	arrangements with Legal			- Panels to be set up for April & May to review	
implemented to	Services, to ensure timely			all cases where permanent placements not	
ensure timely	and effective planning in			made	
outcomes	care proceedings				
Joint working	c. Managers to ensure that			Permanency Panels set up chaired by HoS CPCIC	
arrangements	parallel and contingency			and FPU. Have reviewed all children under the	
between Care Planning	planning is considered at			age of 5, reviewing 5 – 12 year olds on 20/06.	
and Legal Services	each stage.			This Panel scheduled to take place on 6 weekly	
ensure a	d. Permanency Planning			basis, following the Legal Overview Meeting.	
comprehensive	Meeting processes to be				
approach which avoids	strengthened to ensure				
delay.	plans progress in a timely			e. Sample audit undertaken in January. Themed	
Parallel and	way.			audit scheduled for March 2012	
contingency planning	e. Audit of care plans to be				
in place from the start	undertaken by end			All of the above completed, further actions	
to avoid delays, and	February 2012			identified from audit completed in April. Report	
evidenced	,			to be shared with managers next week.	

Improved practitioner expertise in care planning	2. Training and workshop sessions with the objective of improving the care planning process to be set up for staff in Care Planning, Localities, Disabled Children's Team, and Placements.	HOS –Care Planning, Locality, C&R Head of Legal	February – March 2012	Part of L & D programme for 12/13  This is now incorporated into the L&D plan.  Several members of staff involved in WLA training programme.	G
6. Ofsted Recommendation: Timescale: Within 3 months (end February 2012)	The council to ensure that action is subject to fixed-term and multiple	and the second of the second o	attendance for looked	after children and reduce the numbers that are	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
School attendance for looked after children is improved  The number of fixed-	Virtual Head to draft revised procedure in respect of school attendance for consultation with Brent head teachers and designated staff, and ensure	Head of Commissioning & Resources/ Head of Care Planning	February 2012	Procedure drafted and relevant consultation is underway and will be completed by end May. Procedure will them be finalised and implemented by June 12.	Α
term and multiple school exclusions for looked after children is	implementation.			This date has been changed to July 2012. Consultation completed and procedure in process of being signed off.	

All aspects of education for looked after children, including attendance and exclusions, will be improved, in line with the objectives within Brent's LAC Strategy 2011-2014	2. To monitor on termly basis		Monitoring to be reported to DMT so that DCS can ensure that this is implemented and improves educational outcomes for looked after children. As a central improvement area within the LAC Strategy, improvements in attendance and exclusions will be	Plans are progressing for the implementation of Welfare Call; the contract has been signed and agreed. The system is now operational. A briefing notes has been provided to social care staff and schools. The first monitoring report will then be available at the end of July for the summer term.	G
			taken forward and monitored by the LAC Strategy group.		
7. Ofsted Recommendation:  Timescale: Within 6 months (end May 2012)	The council to ensure progress is m permanent homes for children with		placements for children	on admission to care, and the timely provision of	
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Children will not be subjected to unnecessary placement moves	Placement stability will be improved through:     a. Strengthening systems for matching, including	Head of Care Planning/ Head of Commissioning and Resources	Will be included within quarterly data set to be scrutinised by LSCB and DMT.	a) Support plans are being continuously reviewed. This area will also form a part of the audit of the disruption of placements (h) below.	G

following admission to	support plan	Improvements will	b) Brent F/C's are available to EDT. This is	Α
care	b. Ensuring Brent foster-	also be made and	being reviewed by HOS Placements and	
	carers are available to the	monitored through	C&R. Meeting took place on 3/5/12 and	
	Emergency Duty Team	the Social Care	agreement reached to scope possible	
	c. Consider referral to LAC	Transformation	EDT model with IFA agencies given	
	CAMHS for each	Project and Board.	ongoing challenges of achieving with	
	child/placement	Report on progress	BFCs.	
	d. Hold annual professionals	to go to Corporate	c) This is in place and monitored at LAC	G
	meetings are held to	Parenting and	reviews and CPP. Referrals to CAMHS	
	ensure team around the	Safeguarding Board	have increased.	
	child actively reviewing	September 2012.	d) Managers are reviewing all cases in	G
	child, needs and placement		supervision to identify where this will be	
	e. Expand pool of foster-		appropriate. Additionally, IROs are also	
	carers to meet more		considering the need for professionals	
	complex needs		meeting at LAC reviews. Ongoing.	
	f. Review Adoption Panel		e) Meeting held 3/5/12 and targets	G
	processes to strip out delay		identified for 12/13 in line with staffing	
			resources. Agreed further in house	
			development needs to be in line with	
			outcome of WLA Commissioning Strategy	
			data analysis will be report end July 12.	
			f) completed	G
	g. Clarify respite		g) Revised arrangements drafted and to be	G
Children will	arrangements		implemented with staff.	
experience much	h. Analyse disruptions of		h) Current scoping underway and will	Α
improved placement	placements to inform		progress from July due to capacity issues.	
stability	improved arrangements		Management information to be	
			reviewed.	
8. Ofsted	The council to improve monitoring arrange	ements for individual children to measure	e educational progress relative to their starting	
Recommendation:	points.			

Timescale: Within 6 months (end May 2012)					
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Children's individual educational progress will be identified and tracked so that they can better achieve their full educational potential.  To have greater impact in respect of new LAC adolescents and KS4  To continue to develop work on respect of 16+ and those in EET	Systems to be established to track and monitor LAC from their starting points	Head of Care Planning/Head of Commissioning and Resources	Monitoring to be reported to DMT so that DCS can ensure that this is implemented and improves educational outcomes for looked after children. It will also be monitored by the LAC Strategy group. Report to go to Corporate Parenting and Safeguarding Board September 12	System established. On-going data inputting underway. A full progress report of LAC will be provided for Sept 12.	G
	2. NEET/EET strategy to include the identification of young people with potential to benefit from higher education so that they can be supported in accessing relevant courses.		February 2012	2 Completed	G

Identify actions to support new LAC adolescents and KS4 to achieve their educational potential	February 2012	3 Attendance by LAC Ed at monthly res panel is in place. Immediate LAC notifications now go to the LAC Ed team, with early prioritisation of PEPs for new LAC adolescents. Current Peps performance is 88%	G
4. To continue to develop work in respect of 16+ and those in EET	Ongoing.	This has increased to 94%  4 Actively addressed through F4F. Apprenticeship scheme.  This key stage is now focussed on by a dedicated LAC case worker in the LAC Ed Team with monitoring and support where needed to 70 young people. This will be reviewed for impact in Aug 12 – post exam results.	G

# 5. Looked After Children: Additional Areas for Development

<b>Looked After Child</b>	ren: Additional Areas for	r Development			RAG
11.Area for Development	The LAC Strategy Review Group has yet to meet so it is not possible to evaluate the impact of the LAC Strategy present arrangements for accountability, monitoring and challenge of the looked after children strategy are not sufficiently robust as there is no line of report or accountable to the Brent Children's Partnership or other strategic forum.				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Progress on the LAC Strategy is regularly reviewed to ensure objectives are being met in accordance with the action plan, and outcomes for children in care and care-leavers are improving.	1. LAC Strategy Review Group to implement and monitor strategy on multi-agency basis; with 6- monthly scrutiny meeting to be chaired by AD Social Care	Head of Care Planning/AD Social Care	Meeting of LAC Strategy Review group February 2012, then on quarterly basis	1. Meetings scheduled.  Review meeting scheduled for 02/05 2012.  Provisional new objectives drafted  Membership extended to include other stakeholders	G
	2. Set up systems for scrutiny of the progress on the LAC Strategy with Brent Children's Partnership			BCP review date set.     Dates set for June 2012  Date confirmed for 20/06/2012	G

12.Area for Development	Commissioned advocacy services are accessible for those who wish it but no detailed evaluation of the service's impact has been undertaken as yet.				
Required Outcome	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable to:	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Performance monitoring & management in respect of all SLAs will be tighter, with a particular focus on outcomes for children and young people.	1. To ensure that appropriate performance monitoring arrangements are in place for all relevant commissioned services and that they focus on outcomes.	Head of Commissioning and Resources	February 2012 Report to DMT covering all commissioned services.	Completed via quarterly monitoring meetings. Outcomes are incorporated into the performance monitoring reports.	G
	2. The provision of a complaints-related advocacy service will be considered within the Aidhour SLA (service provider for LAC Reviews).			This is still under development given the restructuring within the complaints dept and also needs to explore work being undertaken in respect of advocacy both within adults and across WLA.	A

13.Area for	The targeted use of the Crisis Intervention and Support Teamis successful at maintaining children on the edge of care at					
Development	ppment homeHowever, there is no longitudinal review to evaluate effectiveness.					
Required	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable	Evidence of progress January 2012		
Outcome			to:			
The CIST will successfully divert young people from the care system, and enable them to continue to remain with, or return to their families, whenever it is safe to do so.	1. Following an external review in Summer 2011, the CIST has been operating with a tighter focus, and clearer performance monitoring.	Head of Localities and Disabled Children	September 2012 Evaluation report to go to DMT and to Corporate Parenting and Safeguarding Board.	Performance monitoring demonstrates positive diversion from care rate  Evaluation of service to take place as part of Early Help One Council project.	G	
	2. The impact of the CIST, including the lasting impact of diverting young people from care, will be evaluated one year from the implementation of the changes.				G	

14.Area for Development	While an appropriate escalation policy is in place (for use by IROs), this has not been used in the last 12 months and not all reviewing officers are familiar with it.				
Required	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Outcome			to:		
The escalation	1. Ensure all IROs are	Head of	February 2012	The escalation policy is currently being revised and is to be re-	G
policy is fully and	clear about the use of	Commissioning		implemented by 30/04/12. There is currently a delayed timescale	
meaningfully	the escalation policy,	and Resources		and revision is currently underway for completion by end May 12.	
implemented, its	and to use this instead				
effectiveness	of emailing or				
monitored and	otherwise				
themes identified	communicating				
in order to	concerns.			This will be undertaken in conjunction with the revised	
contribute to				performance monitoring framework and analysed for the Annual	
continuing service	2. The effectiveness of		October 2012	Report.	
improvement.	the escalation policy		IRO report will be		
	will be analysed prior		presented to the		
	to the IRO Annual		Corporate Parenting		
	Report, and issues		and Safeguarding		
	identified will be		Board		
	communicated through				
	the report.				

15.Area for Development	Locality teams have benefitted from Advanced Practitioner posts, but the role has not been included within care planning teams and so practitioners do not have the same opportunities to develop expertise in such a focused manner.				
Required	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Outcome			to:		
Care Planning	1. In view of current	AD Social	April 2012	To be considered	Α
teams are assisted	resource constraints,	Care/Head of	Any proposals to be	This is under consideration. Recent management review	
to develop	consideration will need	CPCIC	considered by DMT in	concluded that caseloads are main challenge and that with more	
expertise.	to be given about how		first instance.	manageable caseloads, there will be more time to develop	
	this can be achieved.			expertise.	
16.Area for				issing from care, needs to undertake further work in order to	
Development:		ns or trends that a	re emerging, and the boa	ard has yet to submit a report to the LSCB for scrutiny and	1
	challenge				
Required	Actions	Lead	By when/Accountable	Evidence of progress January 2012	
Outcome			to:		
Children Missing	3. The Missing Children	Chair of Missing	Report to LSCB	The report of the Missing Children: Risks and Needs group was	Α
from Care are	Risks and Needs group	Children Risks	February 2012 then	presented to the Board on the 7 <sup>th</sup> February.	
managed	collate and analyse	and Needs	on quarterly basis.		
effectively and the	data from Frameworki	group		The remit of the RAN group is to be reviewed to ensure missing	
Board is able to	about Children missing			children at risk of sexual exploitation is captured. A new chair for	
analyse patterns	from Care on a			the RAN group will be sourced as a result of the promotion of the	
and trends	quarterly basis.			current chair and membership reviewed with the new group	
	4. Police data and data			meeting in April.	Α
	from other agencies				
	concerning missing			An audit is currently underway to try to quantify numbers and risk	
	children to be analysed			with a view of developing a targeted action plan.	
				, 5 5 ,	
				The management information presented to the Board will be	
				revised to ensure information presented includes children	

missing from home as well as care. This will be analysed by the	
Monitoring and Evaluation group to provide a more holistic	
oversight on an ongoing basis commencing in May.	
The next report to the Board will be in June and thereafter ,	
September, December and March	

#### **Key to Acronyms:**

**LA** – Local Authority

LSCB - Local Safeguarding Children Board

**BCP** - Brent Children's Partnership

ICO – Integrated Care Organisation (health providers for Brent, Ealing and Harrow)

**DMT** – Departmental management team

**SCMT** – Social Care Management Team

**CPCIC** – Care Planning and Children in Care Service

**CQC** – Care Quality Commission (health inspectors who worked with Ofsted on this inspection)

AD - Assistant director

**HOS** - Head of Service

**CPA** – Child Protection Adviser

LADO – Local Authority Designated Officer (responsible for dealing with concerns about the conduct of adults towards children)

**IRO** – Independent Reviewing Officer (responsible for chairing regular reviews for looked after children)

LAC – Looked after children (children in the care of the council)

**CWD** – Children with disabilities

**QMF** – Quality Management Framework

**SLA** – Service Level Agreement

SMART – Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timebound